

PATENT APPLICATION  
Attorney Docket No. SJ0920020114US1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

David F. HEPNER et al.

Serial No: 10/643,253

Filed: August 19, 2003

For: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND  
FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT  
SENSING

Examiner: LE, John H.

Art Unit: 2863

## FEE CALCULATION SHEET

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is an amendment in the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY
	CLAIMS REMAING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE
TOTAL	27	MINUS	23	= 4	x 50 = \$ 200
INDEP.	3	MINUS	3	= 0	x 200 = \$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					+ 300 = \$
					TOTAL \$ 200

Please charge Deposit Account No. 09-0466 in the amount of \$ 200.

A check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is attached.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 09-0466.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 9, 2005



Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924  
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503  
Forest Hills, NY 11375  
Telephone (718) 544-1110  
Facsimile (718) 544-8588

Apr 09 05 01:12p

Law Office of Ido Tuchman 718-544-8588

p.15

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 09 2005

PATENT APPLICATION  
Attorney Docket No. SJO920020114US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

David F. HEPNER et al.

Serial No: 10/643,253

Filed: August 19, 2003

For: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND  
FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT  
SENSING

Examiner: LE, John H.

Art Unit: 2863

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Interview Summary is made in response to the telephonic interview on April 9, 2004, between Examiner John H. Le and Ido Tuchman.

The interview focused on claim 1 of the pending application and the prior art discussed was U.S. Patent No. 6,104,304 to Clark et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,781,024 to Bloomberg et al. No exhibits or demonstrations were presented during the interview.

Claim 1 was discussed during the interview. The issues discussed was whether a teaching of determining if a measured current draw is outside a pass range for a measured environmental condition is found in either Clark or Bloomberg, and whether there is motivation to combine the teachings of Clark and Bloomberg.

The Applicant argued that neither Clark nor Bloomberg teach determining if a measured current draw is outside a pass range for a measured environmental condition. The Applicant also argued that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Clark and Bloomberg.

It was concluded that the Examiner would take the Applicant's arguments into account after receiving a response to the outstanding Office Action. An agreement as to allowability was not reached.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924  
Law Office of Ido Tuchman  
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503  
Forest Hills, NY 11375  
Telephone (718) 544-1110  
Facsimile (718) 544-8588

Dated: April 9, 2004